Comment: criteria sheet

Structure:

- introduction + thesis = topic to be discussed + own opinion on the matter
- <u>main part</u>: pro-arguments structured into paragraphs; paragraphs start with a topic sentence that reveals the idea discussed in the paragraph; further examples are given; one idea per paragraph
- <u>main part</u>: counterarguments (see above)
- <u>conclusion</u>: sums up argumentation and refers back to thesis; derives logically from the argumentation; no contradictions; no new ideas included

Language/line of argument:

- clear train of thought, argumentation is logical and can be followed easily, i. e. the paragraphs relate to each other
- use of varied connectives to link paragraphs and sentences
- explanation of writer's position with convincing arguments/reasons
- examples are included and well-chosen
- ...
- ...

Fast lane: Check the text for the use of formal register:

- no short forms (I'm \rightarrow I am)
- no <u>abbreviations</u> (e.g. \rightarrow for example)
- no <u>"things" or "really"</u> but appropriate and precise vocabulary

Language support: How to give feedback

- I appreciate that you ...
- It was a good idea to ...
- What I like most about your comment is ...
- The best part is ...
- XY is very well written, especially ...
- What I find very convincing is ...
- If I were you, I would ...
- To make your comment even better, you could ...
- It might be a good idea to ...
- I suggest that you ...
- I have trouble understanding why ...
- I still don't know why ...